

CHROM. 6336

## THIN-LAYER CHROMATOGRAPHY OF PHENOTHIAZINE DERIVATIVES AND ANALOGUES

A. DE LEENHEER

*Laboratory of Medical Biochemistry and Clinical Analysis, University Hospitals, 135 De Pintelaan, B-9000 Ghent (Belgium)*

(Received August 14th, 1972)

---

### SUMMARY

Two solvent systems for the TLC separation of phenothiazine derivatives and analogues have been investigated. Group differentiation of compounds at the microgram level was achieved by the use of spray reagents with increasing oxidative properties.

---

### INTRODUCTION

Phenothiazines and their analogues are currently used for the treatment of all kinds of psychotic disorders. Numerous workers have described TLC procedures for the identification of phenothiazines<sup>1-12</sup> and benzodiazepines<sup>13-16</sup>.

The present paper reports a TLC system developed for the characterization of phenothiazines, azaphenothiazines, thioxanthenes, dibenzazepines and dibenzo-cycloheptadienes.

### EXPERIMENTAL

#### TLC plates

Amounts of 12.5 g of Cellulose MN300 (Macherey, Nagel and Co.) and of 12.5 g of Silica Gel HF<sub>254</sub> (Merck) were suspended in 90 ml of water and finely homogenized with the aid of a mixer. Using standard equipment, five 20 × 20 cm glass plates were covered with the slurry to a 250-μm thickness. The plates were dried for 3 h in the air and were ready for use without temperature activation.

#### Solvent systems

Two eluent combinations were examined: (A) chloroform-acetone-25 % ammonia, 50:50:1 (ml) and (B) chloroform-ethyl acetate-25 % ammonia, 50:50:1 (ml).

#### Detection

The plates were viewed under UV light of wavelengths 254 and 350 nm. The spray reagents used were concentrated HBr (47-48 %); FPN reagent<sup>17</sup> (5 ml of 5 % FeCl<sub>3</sub>, 45 ml of 20 % HClO<sub>4</sub>, 50 ml of 50 % HNO<sub>3</sub>); Fe<sup>3+</sup> reagent<sup>18</sup> (500 mg of Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, 80 ml of concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, water to 1000 ml); V<sup>5+</sup> reagent (500 mg of V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>,

80 ml of concentrated  $H_2SO_4$ , water to 1000 ml);  $Cr^{6+}$  reagent<sup>10</sup> (200 mg of  $K_2Cr_2O_7$ , 75 ml of concentrated  $H_2SO_4$ , 125 ml of concentrated  $HNO_3$ , 50 ml of concentrated  $HClO_4$ , water to 1000 ml); and iodoplatinate reagent<sup>20</sup> (5 ml of 5% platinum chloride, 45 ml of 10% KI, water to 100 ml).

### Solutions

*Free bases or salts.* Amounts of 10.0 mg were dissolved in 10.0 ml of ethanol.

*Sulphoxides.* Amounts of 10.0 mg of free bases or salts were transferred to a 10-ml graduated cylinder, dissolved in 1 ml of 15%  $H_2O_2$  and 0.2 ml of acetic acid was added; after a reaction time of 30 min in a water-bath at 60°, the reaction mixture was diluted to 10.0 ml.

### Chromatographic procedure

Volumes of 10  $\mu$ l, representing 10  $\mu$ g of compound, were applied with a 10- $\mu$ l micropipette on to 3 cm of the lower edge of a TLC plate in a 2-3 cm line. The plate was placed in a chromatographic tank lined with filter-paper, saturated with the solvent system for at least 30 min, and developed over a 10-cm distance in about half an hour (ambient temperature 18-20°).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results on chromatographic behaviour in terms of relative  $R_F$  values are given in Table I. For phenothiazines, the relative  $R_F$  values were calculated with respect to chlorpromazine, whereas for sulphoxide derivatives the corresponding free phenothiazines were used as reference substances. The addition of ammonia to both eluent systems affords identical  $R_F$  values for salts and free bases. An

TABLE I  
RELATIVE  $R_F$  VALUES OF COMPOUNDS EXAMINED WITH TWO ELUENT SYSTEMS

Compounds	Free bases or salts				Sulphoxides			
	Eluent A <sup>a</sup>		Eluent B <sup>b</sup>		Eluent A		Eluent B	
	Rel. $R_F^c$	( $R_F$ ) <sub>chl.</sub> <sup>d</sup>	Rel. $R_F^c$	( $R_F$ ) <sub>chl.</sub> <sup>d</sup>	Rel. $R_F^c$	( $R_F$ ) <sup>f</sup>	Rel. $R_F^c$	( $R_F$ ) <sup>f</sup>
<i>I. 1-Aminoalkylphenothiazines</i>								
(a) <i>Dialkylaminoethyl</i> derivatives								
Diethazine·HCl	1.27	(0.62)	1.35	(0.48)	0.64	(0.70)	0.19	(0.43)
Dimethoxanate·HCl	0.50	(0.64)	0.45	(0.46)	0.74	(0.73)	0.75	(0.28)
Dimetiotazine	0.99	(0.64)	0.95	(0.60)	0.81	(0.75)	0.51	(0.57)
Prosenamine·HCl	1.42	(0.62)	1.62	(0.48)	0.10	(0.48)	0.16	(0.63)
Promethazine·HCl	0.96	(0.62)	0.90	(0.48)	0.65	(0.69)	0.14	(0.14)
Propiomazine maleate	0.89	(0.64)	0.93	(0.33)	0.74	(0.77)	0.25	(0.20)
Thiazinarnium	0.00	(0.64)	0.00	(0.65)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)
(b) <i>Dialkylaminopropyl</i> derivatives								
Acepromazine maleate	0.72	(0.66)	0.43	(0.33)	0.31	(0.32)	0.18	(0.27)
Alimemazine bitartrate	1.19	(0.66)	1.23	(0.56)	0.45	(0.67)	0.16	(0.50)
Aminopromazine fumarate	0.92	(0.66)	0.85	(0.56)	0.00	(0.73)	0.00	(0.27)
Chlorproethazine·HCl	1.15	(0.66)	1.11	(0.56)	0.46	(0.52)	0.11	(0.28)
Chlorpromazine·HCl	1.00		1.00		0.31	(0.45)	0.09	(0.23)
Levoinepromazine maleate	1.22	(0.62)	1.24	(0.48)	0.21	(0.58)	0.12	(0.33)

TABLE I (continued)

Compounds	Free bases or salts				Sulphoxides			
	Eluent A <sup>a</sup>		Eluent B <sup>b</sup>		Eluent A		Eluent B	
	Rel. $R_F^c$	( $R_F$ ) <sub>chl.</sub> <sup>d</sup>	Rel. $R_F^e$	( $R_F$ ) <sub>chl.</sub> <sup>d</sup>	Rel. $R_F^e$	( $R_F$ ) <sup>f</sup>	Rel. $R_F^e$	( $R_F$ ) <sup>f</sup>
Methiomeprazine	1.16	(0.64)	1.15	(0.65)	0.08	(0.75)	0.15	(0.72)
Oxomemazine <sup>g</sup>	0.92	(0.64)	0.89	(0.65)				
Promazine·HCl	0.77	(0.66)	0.75	(0.56)	0.40	(0.25)	0.17	(0.12)
Propiopromazine·H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	0.87	(0.62)	0.79	(0.49)	0.48	(0.70)	0.20	(0.15)
Trifluopromazine·HCl	1.10	(0.66)	1.03	(0.56)	0.42	(0.60)	0.30	(0.30)
<i>I.2 Piperidylalkyl phenothiazines</i>								
Pecazine·HCl·H <sub>2</sub> O	1.06	(0.57)	1.07	(0.41)	0.12	(0.40)	0.14	(0.21)
Propercizine	0.70	(0.64)	0.46	(0.65)	0.50	(0.65)	0.00	(0.17)
Thioridazine	0.93	(0.57)	0.78	(0.42)	0.00	(0.27)	0.21	(0.47)
<i>I.3 Piperazinylalkyl phenothiazines</i>								
Acetophenazine dimaleate	0.31	(0.66)	0.06	(0.33)	0.19	(0.16)	0.00	(0.12)
Dixyrazine	0.66	(0.56)	0.29	(0.46)	0.63	(0.81)	0.26	(0.31)
Fluphenazine·2HCl	0.55	(0.57)	0.24	(0.42)	0.37	(0.78)	0.30	(0.36)
Perazine dimalonate	0.45	(0.56)	0.35	(0.46)	0.14	(0.14)	0.18	(0.33)
Perphenazine	0.50	(0.56)	0.23	(0.46)	0.00	(0.13)	0.00	(0.26)
Prochlorperazine dimaleate	0.62	(0.56)	0.55	(0.46)	0.17	(0.12)	0.28	(0.50)
Thiopropazate·2HCl	1.17	(0.64)	1.09	(0.71)	0.38	(0.60)	0.14	(0.50)
Thioperazine dimethanesulphonate	0.40	(0.57)	0.18	(0.40)	0.37	(0.46)	0.20	(0.10)
Trifluoperazine·2HCl	0.66	(0.57)	0.50	(0.40)	0.33	(0.33)	0.18	(0.28)
<i>II.1 Azaphenothiazines</i>								
Isothipendyl·HCl	0.90	(0.66)	0.80	(0.33)	0.45	(0.20)	0.33	(0.33)
Prothipendyl·HCl·H <sub>2</sub> O	0.71	(0.66)	0.44	(0.38)	0.39	(0.33)	0.24	(0.21)
<i>II.2 Thioxanthenes</i>								
Chlorprothixene·HCl	1.12	(0.68)	1.15	(0.65)				
Methixene·HCl	0.95	(0.64)	0.82	(0.45)				
<i>III.1 Dibenzazepines</i>								
(a) <i>Iminodibenzyl derivatives</i>								
Desmethylimipramine·HCl	0.32	(0.61)	0.23	(0.56)				
Imipramine·HCl	0.91	(0.71)	0.93	(0.56)				
Trimepramine maleate	1.20	(0.68)	1.23	(0.65)				
(b) <i>Iminostilbene derivative</i>								
Oipramol·2HCl	0.49	(0.71)	0.21	(0.56)				
<i>III.2 Dibenzodiazepine</i>								
Dibenzepine·HCl	0.85	(0.68)	0.75	(0.65)				
<i>III.3 Dibenzocycloheptadienes</i>								
Amitriptyline·HCl	1.03	(0.68)	1.06	(0.65)				
Nortriptyline·HCl	0.40	(0.71)	0.45	(0.56)				

<sup>a</sup> Eluent A: chloroform-acetone-25% ammonia, 50:50:1 (ml).<sup>b</sup> Eluent B: chloroform-ethyl acetate-25% ammonia, 50:50:1 (ml).<sup>c</sup> Rel.  $R_F = \frac{R_F \text{ of compound}}{R_F \text{ of chlorpromazine}}$ .<sup>d</sup> ( $R_F$ )<sub>chl.</sub> =  $R_F$  of chlorpromazine as measured on a given chromatogram.<sup>e</sup> Rel.  $R_F = \frac{R_F \text{ of sulphoxide}}{R_F \text{ of free base}}$ .<sup>f</sup> ( $R_F$ ) =  $R_F$  of free base as measured on a given chromatogram.<sup>g</sup> Oxomemazine is different from other free bases or salts in being a sulphone.

TABLE II  
DETECTION CHARACTERISTICS<sup>1</sup> OF COMPOUNDS CHROMATOGRAPHED

Compounds	Free bases or salts				Sulphonoxides					
	UV 254 nm	HBr 350 nm	F PN 254 nm	Fe <sup>3+</sup> 350 nm	V <sup>5+</sup>	C <sub>P</sub> <sup>6+</sup>	Iodo- plat- nate <sup>b</sup>	UV 254 nm	Fe <sup>3+</sup> 350 nm	HBr 350 nm
<b>I.1 Aminoalkylphenothiazines</b>										
(a) <i>Dialkylaminoethyl</i> derivatives										
Diethazine·HCl	+	-	pink	pink	pink	pink	+	-	pink	pink-violet
Dimethoxanate·HCl	+	-	yellow white	pink	pink	pink	-	+	-	-
Dimeticotiazine	+	-	pink	pink	pink	pink	+	+	blue	pink
Profenamine·HCl	+	-	pink	pink	pink	pink	+	-	-	orange
Promethazine·HCl	+	-	red	pink	pink	pink	+	-	-	pink
Propiomazine maleate	red	-	pink	pink	pink	pink	+	-	-	pink
Thiazinamium	+	-	pink	pink	pink	pink	+	-	-	pink
(b) <i>Dialkyaminopropyl</i> derivatives										
Acepromazine maleate	red	red	orange	orange	orange	orange	+	+	yellow	pink
Alimemazine bitartrate	+	-	orange	orange	orange	orange	+	+	-	orange
Aminopromazine fumarate	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	pink
Chlorprothiazine·HCl	+	-	pink	pink	pink	pink	+	+	-	pink
Chlorpromazine·HCl	+	-	blue	blue	blue	blue	+	+	-	blue
Levomepromazine maleate	+	-	blue	blue	blue	blue	+	+	-	blue
Methioneprazine	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oxomemazine	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Promazine·HCl	+	-	orange	orange	orange	orange	+	+	-	pink
Propiomazine·H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	red	-	yellow	yellow	yellow	yellow	+	+	-	pink
Triptazinamidine·HCl	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	yellow
<b>I.2 Phenylalkylphenothiazines</b>										
Pecazine HCl·H <sub>2</sub> O	+	-	pink	yellow	blue	orange	orange	pink	-	orange
Properiazine	+	-	pink	-	-	orange	orange	orange	-	orange
Thioridazine	+	-	-	-	-	blue	blue	blue	-	orange

**I.3 Piperazinylalkyl phenothiazines**

Acetophenazine dimaleate	red	red	orange	orange	orange	yellow	green	-	pink
Dixyrazine	+	-	pink	pink	pink	-	-	-	pink
Fluphenazine·HCl	+	-	pink	pink	pink	-	-	-	pink
Perazine dimalonate	+	-	pink	pink	pink	-	-	-	pink
Perphenazine	+	-	pink	pink	pink	-	-	-	pink
Prochlorperazine dimaleate	+	-	pink	pink	pink	-	-	-	pink
Thiopropazate·2HCl	+	-	pink-violet	pink-violet	pink-violet	+	+	-	pink
Thioperazine dimethane-sulphonate	red	yellow	pink	pink	pink	blue	blue	-	pink
Trifluoperazine·2HCl	+	-	yellow-brown	yellow-brown	yellow-brown	blue	blue	-	pink
<b>II.1 Azaphenothiazines</b>									
Isothipendyl·HCl	+	blue	yellow	orange	orange	+	+	-	yellow
Prothipendyl·HCl	+	blue	yellow	yellow	yellow	+	+	blue	yellow
<b>II.2 Thioxanthenes</b>									
Chloprothixene·HCl	+	-	orange	orange	orange	orange	orange	-	yellow
Methixene·HCl	+	-	pink	pink	pink	pink	pink	-	yellow
<b>III.1 Dibenzazepines</b>									
(a) <i>Iminodibenzyl derivatives</i>									
Desmethylimipramine·HCl	+	-	-	-	-	blue	blue	+	yellow
Imipramine·HCl	+	-	-	-	-	blue	blue	+	yellow
Trimipramine maleate	+	-	-	-	-	blue	blue	+	yellow
(b) <i>Inminostilbene derivative</i>									
Opiptamol·2HCl	red	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
<b>III.2 Dibenzodiazepine</b>									
Dibenzepine·FeCl <sub>3</sub>	+	-	-	-	-	pink	yellow	+	yellow
<b>III.3 Dibenzocycloheptadienes</b>									
Amitriptyline·HCl	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Nortriptyline·HCl	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+

<sup>a</sup> UV inspection: + = absorption; - = neither absorption nor fluorescence; colour = fluorescence.

<sup>b</sup> Iodoplutate reagent: +, colours are only slightly differentiated (blue, violet, brown, blue-brown).

exception to this is thiazinamium, with its invertible quaternary ammonium structure. The more polar aminopromazine sulphoxide and perphenazine sulphoxide cannot be chromatographed in either solvent system, and propericiazine sulphoxide and acetophenazine sulphoxide can be developed only in eluent A and thioridazine sulphoxide only in solvent system B. In general, the former seemed to be the most appropriate for the separation of free phenothiazines from their sulphoxides.

Results on the detection of compounds are given in Table II. After spraying a reagent, a hot air stream was applied for a few moments, resulting in more intense stains. The intensity of the colours obtained decreases in the order FPN, Cr<sup>6+</sup>, V<sup>5+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>. The opposite relationship applies to the stability of the colours. For example, phenothiazines developed with FPN reagent yield very intense colours that exist for only a short time and which may even disappear instantaneously on overspraying; with Fe<sup>3+</sup> reagent they produce less intense but stable stains.

TABLE III  
TLC OF SOME METABOLITES IN ELUENT A

Compounds	R <sub>F</sub>	Rel. R <sub>F</sub>	Detection
<i>Levomepromazines</i>			
Levomepromazine maleate	0.81	1.00	Fe <sup>3+</sup> reagent blue
Desmethyllevomepromazine maleate	0.43	0.53	blue
Levomepromazine sulphoxide	0.17	0.21	(blue) <sup>a</sup>
Desmethyllevomepromazine sulphoxide maleate	0.07	0.09	blue
<i>Imipramines</i>			
Imipramine·HCl	0.65	1.00	Cr <sup>6+</sup> reagent blue
Desmethylimipramine·HCl	0.27	0.41	blue
Bidesmethylimipramine·HCl	0.76	1.17	blue
2-Hydroxyimipramine·HCl	0.32	0.50	green, orange edge
2-Hydroxydesmethylimipramine·HCl	0.06	0.09	green, orange edge
Iminodibenzyl	0.88	1.35	green-blue
2-Hydroxyiminodibenzyl·HCl	0.90	1.39	blue
<i>Dibenzepines</i>			
Dibenzepine·HCl	0.54	1.00	Cr <sup>6+</sup> reagent yellow
5-Methyl-10-β-methylaminooethyl-10,11-dihydro-11-oxo-5H-dibenzo-[b,e] [1,4]-diazepine	0.24	0.44	yellow
5-Methyl-10-β-aminoethyl-10,11-dihydro-11-oxo-5H-dibenzo-[b,e] [1,4]-diazepine	0.59	1.09	yellow
10-β-Dimethylaminooethyl-10,11-dihydro-11-oxo-5H-dibenzo-[b,e] [1,4]-diazepine	0.47	0.87	blue
10-β-Methylaminooethyl-10,11-dihydro-11-oxo-5H-dibenzo-[b,e] [1,4]-diazepine	0.19	0.35	blue
10-β-Aminoethyl-10,11-dihydro-11-oxo-5H-dibenzo-[b,e] [1,4]-diazepine	0.50	0.92	blue
<i>Amitriptylines</i>			
Amitriptyline·HCl	0.55	1.00	Iodoplatinate reagent brown
Amitriptyline-N-oxide	0.00	0.00	brown
<i>Nortriptylines</i>			
Nortriptyline·HCl	0.25	0.45	Iodoplatinate reagent brown
Desmethylnortriptyline·HCl	0.90	1.64	brown

<sup>a</sup> Detected by HBr reagent.

Group differentiation following the oxidative series of the reagents is feasible: phenothiazines react better with  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and  $\text{V}^{6+}$  reagents, phenothiazine sulphoxides with HBr, thioxanthenes with Marquis reagent\*, dibenzazepine and dibenzodiazepine derivatives with  $\text{Cr}^{6+}$  reagent, and dibenzocycloheptadienes only with iodoplatinate reagent. Compounds with additional double or triple bonds in the  $R_2$ -substituent, such as propanazine ( $-\text{COC}_2\text{H}_5$ ), acepromazine ( $-\text{COCH}_3$ ), propipromazine ( $-\text{COC}_2\text{H}_5$ ), propercizine ( $-\text{CN}$ ), acetophenazine ( $-\text{COCH}_3$ ) and thioproperazine ( $-\text{SO}_2\text{NMe}_2$ ), or in the tricyclic system, such as opipramol ( $-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-$ ), can be made visible through their strong fluorescence under UV light. After spraying with  $\text{Cr}^{6+}$  reagent and inspection under UV light of wavelength 254 nm, chlorprothixene is seen as a pink stain with green-yellow fluorescent edge, while opipramol is detected by its characteristic green-yellow fluorescence.

In general, phenothiazines give yellow, orange, pink or pink-violet colours. Exceptions to this are levopromazine, blue ( $R_2 = \text{OCH}_3$ ) and methiomeprazine and thioridazine, blue ( $R_2 = \text{SCH}_3$ ). Two phenothiazines, dimethoxanate and oxomemazine, cannot be detected with  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{V}^{6+}$  or  $\text{Cr}^{6+}$  reagent. The first product possesses an esterified carboxyl function located on the ring nitrogen, whereas the latter is characterized by its doubly oxygenated sulphur atom (sulphone).

All experiments were carried out on 10- $\mu\text{g}$  amounts, but detection limits are estimated at the 1-2  $\mu\text{g}$  level. Inspection under short wavelength UV light after spraying with  $\text{Cr}^{6+}$  reagent lowers the detection limit for chlorprothixene and opipramol to about 0.05  $\mu\text{g}$ . A similar effect occurs for thioxanthenes if Marquis reagent is used as the spray solution combined with viewing under UV light.

In practice, active substances are made visible by applying a definite sequence: UV inspection (254 and 350 nm) for absorption or fluorescence,  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  reagent (phenothiazines, azaphenothiazines and thioxanthenes),  $\text{V}^{6+}$  reagent (as for  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  reagent, and also iminodibenzyl derivatives),  $\text{Cr}^{6+}$  reagent (dibenzazepines and dibenzodiazepines), UV inspection (254 and 350 nm) for typical fluorescence, HBr (sulphoxides) and iodoplatinate reagent (dibenzocycloheptadienes).

It can be seen in Table III that free compounds, metabolites as sulphoxides and N-desmethylated products may simultaneously be separated and detected in eluent A. The described system is now in routine use for the screening of extracts of body fluids in clinical toxicological cases.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 T. J. MELLINGER AND C. E. KEELER, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 51 (1962) 1169.
- 2 W. PAULUS, W. HOCH AND R. KEYMER, *Arzneim.-Forsch.*, 7 (1963) 609.
- 3 H. EBERHARDT, O. W. LERBS AND K. J. FREUNDT, *Arzneim.-Forsch.*, 13 (1963) 804.
- 4 H. EBERHARDT, O. W. LERBS AND K. J. FREUNDT, *Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Arch. Exp. Pathol. Pharmakol.*, 245 (1963) 136.
- 5 Z. MARGASINSKI, R. DANIELAK, T. POMAZANSKA AND H. RAFALOWSKA, *Acta Pol. Pharm.*, 21 (1964) 5; 21 (1964) 267.
- 6 A. NOIRFALISE AND M. H. GROSJEAN, *J. Chromatogr.*, 16 (1964) 237.
- 7 A. NOIRFALISE, *J. Chromatogr.*, 19 (1965) 68.
- 8 T. CONSTANTINESCU AND S. ENACHE, *Arzneimittelstandardisierung*, 19 (1969) 197.
- 9 R. L. MITAL AND S. K. JAIN, *J. Chromatogr.*, 47 (1970) 546.
- 10 C. KORCZAK-LAPIERKIEWICZ AND G. CIMBURA, *J. Chromatogr.*, 53 (1970) 413.
- 11 N. KAUL, M. W. CONWAY AND M. L. CLARK, *Nature*, 226 (1970) 372.

\* Results not given in Table II; the reagent consists of 2 ml of 37% HCHO dissolved in 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

- 12 E. GRUSZ-HARDAY, *Pharmazie*, 26 (1971) 562.
- 13 E. SCHMID, E. HOPPE, C. MEYTHALER, JR., AND L. ZICHLA, *Arzneim.-Forsch.*, 11 (1963) 969.
- 14 S. LAUFFER, E. SCHMID AND F. WEIST, *Arzneim.-Forsch.*, 19 (1969) 1965.
- 15 A. VIALA, F. GOUEZO AND C. GOLA, *J. Chromatogr.*, 45 (1969) 94.
- 16 H. SAWADA AND K. SHINOHARA, *Arch. Toxikol.*, 27 (1970) 71.
- 17 I. S. FORREST AND F. M. FORREST, *Clin. Chem.*, 6 (1960) 11.
- 18 H. LEACH AND W. R. C. CRIMMIN, *J. Clin. Pathol.*, 9 (1956) 164.
- 19 I. S. FORREST AND F. M. FORREST, *Amer. J. Psych.*, 116 (1960) 840.
- 20 A. STOLMAN, *Progress in Chemical Toxicology*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York and London, 1965, p. 357.